

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 128 229

SE 021 337

AUTHOR Robitaille, David P.
TITLE A Comparison of Boys' and Girls' Feelings of Self-Confidence in Arithmetic Computation. Mathematics Education Diagnostic and Instructional Centre (MEDIC) Report No. 3-76.
INSTITUTION British Columbia Univ., Vancouver. Faculty of Education.
PUB DATE [76]
NOTE 22p.; For related documents, see SE 021 336-338; Not available in hard copy due to marginal legibility of original document
AVAILABLE FROM Mathematics Education Diagnostic and Instructional Centre (MEDIC), Faculty of Education, University of British Columbia, 2075 Wesbrook Place, Vancouver, B.C., V6T 1W5, Canada
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 Plus Postage. HC Not Available from EDRS.
DESCRIPTORS Academic Achievement; *Achievement; Algorithms; Elementary Education; *Elementary School Mathematics; Mathematics Education; *Research; *Sex Differences; *Student Characteristics; Whole Numbers
IDENTIFIERS Computation; Research Reports

ABSTRACT

Boys' and girls' achievement in arithmetic computation was compared with their feelings of self-confidence in performing computations. A total of 2654 girls and 2786 boys from grades 5 through 8 in one school system participated. Each student was given a test to assess the degree of self-confidence in methods of performing the four basic operations with whole numbers, along with four computation subtests. Students were provided addition and multiplication tables to use during the test. When a MANOVA was used to compare the boys' self-confidence and achievement scores to those of the girls, results indicated significant differences at each grade level and for the overall group. Univariate F-values calculated to identify the specific sources of these differences showed that 15 of the 20 F-ratios computed on measures of achievement were significant and each favored the girls. With regard to self-confidence, 6 of the 20 F-ratios were significant, all differences favoring the boys. The investigator concluded that the data support the general finding that boys tend to overrate their ability while girls tend to underrate theirs. (DT)

* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

ED128229

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

***Mathematics Education Diagnostic
and Instructional Centre.***

MEDIC

A COMPARISON OF BOYS' AND
GIRLS' FEELINGS OF SELF-
CONFIDENCE IN ARITHMETIC
COMPUTATION
REPORT NO. 3-76

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

FACULTY OF EDUCATION
THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
2075 WESBROOK PLACE
VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA
V6T 1W5

SE 021 387

MEDIC REPORT 3-76

A Comparison of Boys' and
Girls' Feelings of
Self-Confidence in Arithmetic Computation

by

David F. Robitaille

Mathematics Education Diagnostic and Instructional Centre

Faculty of Education
University of British Columbia
V6T 1W5

A COMPARISON OF BOYS' AND GIRLS' FEELINGS OF SELF-CONFIDENCE
IN ARITHMETIC COMPUTATION

David F. Robitaille
University of British Columbia

In her review of the literature on sex differences in mathematics learning, Fennema (1974) reported that after the fourth grade, when achievement differences begin to appear, they favored boys if higher level cognitive tasks were being measured and girls if lower level tasks were being measured. Among the major studies supporting this finding are the National Longitudinal Study of Mathematical Ability (NLSMA) conducted by the School Mathematics Study Group (SMSG) and, more recently, the mathematics assessment conducted as part of the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP).

The NLSMA data were gathered over a five year period during the last decade. Over 112,000 students from 1,500 schools in 40 states participated in the study. At the grades 4-6 level, girls generally outperformed boys in tests of computational skill but, with older students, fewer significant differences in computation were found. The results of tests designed to measure acquisition of higher level cognitive skills overwhelmingly favored the boys over the girls. (Fennema, 1974)

The NAEP project tested approximately 2500 individuals in 1972-73 at each of four age levels: 9 year olds, 13 year olds, 17 year olds, and

adults between 26 and 35 years of age. Overall, the mathematics assessment supported the view that boys outperform girls in that subject. The October 1975 newsletter of the NAEP described the boys' advantage as being overwhelming. One of the few instances of girls outperforming boys occurred in the area of arithmetic computation. However, this situation was only temporary, since by age 17 the advantage had switched to the boys and remained in the males' favor for adults as well.

In a different realm, several researchers have studied the relationship between achievement and some measure of self-esteem, self-concept, or self-confidence. Aiken (1972) found that mathematics attitude was positively related to self-confidence in grade 8 males and that mathematics attitude was positively related to achievement. Leviton (1975) reported a consistent, moderate correlation between self-concept and academic achievement. Bailey and Bailey (1974) found that at the fourth grade level females rated the typical female student as being higher in ability than the typical male student. By the eighth grade, there were no such differences despite the fact that the girls had a higher actual ability level. That is, at the eighth grade level boys overrated their actual ability whereas girls tended to underrate theirs.

For boys and girls below the tenth grade, Primavera (1974) and Bohan (1973) both found no significant differences in self-esteem scores as measured by the Coopersmith Self-Esteem Inventory. Primavera also found a significant positive correlation between self-esteem and achievement in mathematics for girls but not for boys. Pennema (1974 a) reported the results of several studies which tended to support the hypothesis that

"girls feel inadequate when faced with a variety of mathematical situations."

The present study compared boys' and girls' achievement in arithmetic computation with their feelings of self-confidence to perform such computations. Rather than obtain a general measure of self-esteem or self-concept, a test was developed to assess the degree of self-confidence of boys and girls to perform a specific mathematical task. This measure could then be compared to a measure of achievement in the performance of that task. As a result, it would be possible to compare girls' feelings of self-confidence to those of boys. Computational skill was selected as the mathematical content area because the literature showed it to be an area where the girls could be expected to outperform the boys.

Method

All of the students enrolled in grade 5 through 8 in the public schools of Richmond, B.C. formed the target population for the study. Of the subjects taking part, approximately 2% provided incomplete data and so had to be excluded from the study. Complete data were obtained on 5440 subjects. A breakdown of this figure by sex and by grade is shown in Table 1.

Insert Table 1 about here

Each student was administered an instrument which had been designed for the study and which consisted of two main parts. In the first part of the test students were asked to express their degree of self-confidence in their methods of performing the four basic operations with whole numbers: addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. This was accomplished by having the students choose, for each operation, one response from an ordered selection of five responses ranging from an expression of certainty that their method of performing the operation was correct to a feeling of certainty that their method was incorrect. As an example, the item dealing with addition is displayed in Figure 1. Similar items for subtraction, multiplication, and division made up the remainder of the first section of the test.

Insert Figure 1 here

The second part of the test consisted of four subtests, one for each of the four operations. The subtest dealing with division of whole numbers contained 8 items, whereas each of the other three contained 12 items. Each subtest was constructed to include at least two items at each of several levels of generality of application of the algorithm concerned. For example, the subtraction test consisted of the following kinds of items:

- (a) two consecutive zeros in the minuend, with regrouping;
- (b) one zero in the minuend, with regrouping;
- (c) subtraction with regrouping;
- (d) subtraction without regrouping.

Also, four of the items on the subtraction subtest were presented in horizontal format.

Both parts of the test were administered to the students in one sitting by their regular class teacher, and all of the schools administered the test during the same one-week period. No time limit was imposed but the teachers were informed that pilot testing of the instrument had shown that forty minutes was a more than adequate estimate of the length of time required for completion of the test by virtually any student. Teachers were asked to explain the structure of the tests to their students.

In an attempt to minimize the number of basic fact errors made by students, thereby permitting the study to focus upon competence with algorithm, each student was given tables of addition and multiplication facts to use during the test. Teachers were asked to spend a few minutes familiarizing their students with the use of these tables. In spite of this precaution, basic fact errors were found to account for slightly more than 17% of all the errors made by the students. This may have been due, at least in part, to the students' lack of experience with tables in the format used.

The major questions to be answered by the statistical analysis of the data concerned the relationship between self-confidence and

achievement for girls and for boys. Because of an hypothesized lack of independence among the measures of the independent variables, multivariate analysis of variance was chosen as the means of analysis.

Results

The maximum scores on the addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division subtests were 12, 12, 12, and 8, respectively. The four self-confidence items were scored on a scale ranging from 1 to 5, with 5 indicating a high degree of self-confidence and 1, a low degree. Summary statistics on the achievement and self-confidence portions of the test are presented in Tables 2 through 5 below.

Insert Tables 2 and 3 here

For both boys and girls the results displayed in Tables 2 and 3 show that achievement scores increase each year except for the boys' result in addition in grade 8. Also, there is a consistent decrease in achievement for both boys and girls as one reads across any row of these tables. That is, regardless of grade or sex, if these results were expressed as percentages, the addition result would be highest and the division result lowest.

Of particular interest to this study is the fact that the girls' means are consistently higher than the boys' means. This is true for each of the 20 achievement means.


Insert Tables 4 and 5 here

The self-confidence results, which are shown in Tables 4 and 5, parallel the achievement results in two of the areas mentioned earlier. The self-confidence scores, with the exception of addition for grade 5 boys, increased with each year and, in each grade, the self-confidence scores for addition, subtraction, multiplication and division form a decreasing sequence.

In comparing boys' and girls' self-confidence scores, however, the situation is very different from the achievement comparisons. Despite the fact that the girls consistently outperform the boys as regards achievement, in only three out of the twenty cases is the girls' mean self-confidence score greater than that of the boys.

To analyze the achievement and self-confidence differences between boys and girls, multivariate analysis of variance was employed to compare the boys' vector of self-confidence and achievement scores to that of the girls. Separate analyses were conducted for each grade and then for the overall group. The results of these analyses are displayed in Table 6.

Insert Table 6 here



The multivariate analyses indicated the presence of significant differences between the vector of boys' scores and the vector of girls' scores at each grade level and for the overall group. Univariate F-values were then calculated in order to identify the specific sources of those differences. The results of this analysis are shown in Table 7.

Insert Table 7 here

In general, these results show several significant differences in favor of the girls as regards achievement scores. Of the 20 F-ratios computed on measures of achievement, 15 were significant and each of these differences favored the girls. As regards self-confidence 6 of the 20 F-ratios were significant, and all of these differences favored the boys.

Of particular interest are the individual grade comparisons which show 11 of 16 differences significantly in favor of the girls as regards achievement and no confidence comparisons significantly in favor of them. The most extreme instance occurs in the case of grade 5 addition. In this case the boys were significantly more self-confident than the girls while the girls' achievement was significantly better than that of the boys.

Discussion

✓ The results reported in this paper generally support the findings of other studies comparing sex differences in mathematics and in self-concept. In particular, the present data are supportive of Bailey and Bailey's (1974) general finding that boys tend to overrate their ability while girls tend to underrate theirs.

One significant feature of the present analysis is that it focuses upon the one component of mathematics learning where, between the fourth and tenth grade levels, girls have been shown to be superior in achievement to boys. At the same time, rather than employ a global measure of self-concept or self-esteem, an effort was made to measure the students' feelings of self-confidence as regards the performance of those computational skills.

Further studies in this area might concentrate upon an investigation of the effects of manipulating the self-confidence variable. It may be the case that, beginning in an area of mathematics where girls do outperform boys, girls' self-confidence in mathematics can be enhanced. If such is the case, the literature would seem to indicate that teachers might be able to expect enhanced achievement in mathematics from their female students.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aiken, L.R. Biodata correlates of attitudes toward mathematics in three age and two sex groups. *School Science and Mathematics*, 1972, 5, 386 - 395.
- Bailey, R.C., & Bailey, K.G. Self-perceptions of scholastic ability at four grade levels. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 1974, 124, 197 - 212.
- Bohan, J.S. Age and sex differences in self-concept. *Adolescence*, 1973, 8, 31, 379 - 384.
- The Education Commission of the States, National Assessment of Educational Progress. Math Fundamentals: *Selected Results from the First National Assessment of Mathematics*. (Mathematics Report No. 04-MA-OL) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1975.
- Fennema, E. Mathematics learning and the sexes: a review. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 1974, 5, 3, 126 - 139
- Fennema, E. Sex differences in mathematics-learning: Why??? *Elementary School Journal*, 1974, 75, 3, 183 - 190. (a)
- Hilton, T. & Herhlund, G.W. Sex differences in mathematics achievement - a longitudinal study. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1974, 67, 5, 231 - 237.
- Primavera, L.H. The relationship between self-esteem and academic achievement: an investigation of sex differences. *Psychology in the Schools*, 1974, 11, 2, 213 - 216.

Puzzles and Paradoxes: Males Dominate in Educational Success. NAEP
Newsletter, October 1975, 8, 5.

Wilson, J.W., Cohen, L.S. & Begle, E.G. (Eds.) *NLSMA Reports*. (Vols.
1 - 33). Stanford, California: School Mathematics Study Group.

West, C.K. & Fish, J.A. Relationship between self-concept and school
achievement - a survey of empirical investigations. Final
report. ERIC clearinghouse on Early Childhood Education, Urbana,
Illinois, 1973. (ED 092 239).

1975: *Education Portrait Taking Shape.* NAEP Newsletter, December 1975,
8, 6.

Table 1
Number of Students Tested

Grade	Boys	Girls	Total
5	708	655	1360
6	728	746	1474
7	747	664	1411
8	606	589	1195
Total	2786	2654	5440

How sure are you that your way of ADDING is correct?

- (a) I'm positive that my way is correct.
- (b) I'm pretty sure that my way is correct.
- (c) I don't know if my way is correct or not.
- (d) I'm pretty sure that my way is wrong.
- (e) I'm positive my way is wrong.

Figure 1: Self-Confidence Item for Addition

Table 2
Boys' Achievement Scores

Grade	+		-		x		†	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
5	10.88	1.85	9.84	2.65	8.74	3.08	3.80	2.76
6	11.08	1.90	10.44	1.95	9.97	2.23	5.09	2.55
7	11.26	1.65	10.59	1.90	10.36	1.87	5.65	2.32
8	11.22	1.81	10.75	1.71	10.61	1.67	5.89	2.17
Overall	11.11	1.81	10.40	2.12	9.90	2.40	5.09	2.59

Table 3
Girls' Achievement Scores

Grade	+		-		x		+	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
5	11.21	1.52	10.23	2.23	9.45	2.62	4.46	2.65
6	11.36	1.18	10.47	2.10	10.10	2.12	5.50	2.33
7	11.37	1.65	10.76	1.81	10.67	1.88	6.20	2.18
8	11.60	0.83	10.92	1.51	10.85	1.51	6.21	1.95
Overall	11.38	1.35	10.58	1.96	10.25	2.15	5.57	2.41

Table 4
Boys' Self-Confidence Scores

Grade	+		-		x		+	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
5	4.36	0.70	4.19	0.72	4.11	0.86	3.68	1.03
6	4.42	0.64	4.32	0.69	4.27	0.73	3.94	0.88
7	4.58	0.60	4.50	0.60	4.40	0.69	4.08	0.82
8	4.57	0.55	4.51	0.61	4.43	0.66	4.13	0.77
Overall	4.48	0.63	4.38	0.67	4.30	0.75	3.95	0.90

Table 5

Girls' Self-Confidence Scores

Grade	+		-		x		+	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
5	4.29	0.69	4.16	0.75	4.10	0.79	3.74	0.90
6	4.40	0.60	4.29	0.64	4.20	0.69	3.88	0.83
7	4.49	0.58	4.42	0.61	4.34	0.66	4.08	0.77
8	4.59	0.53	4.52	0.58	4.43	0.61	4.13	0.77
Overall	4.44	0.61	4.34	0.66	4.26	0.70	3.95	0.84

Table 6

F-Ratios for Multivariate Analysis of Variance
of Confidence and Achievement Scores

Grade	d.f.	F
5	8, 1351	5.74 ^{***}
6	8, 1465	4.09 ^{***}
7	8, 1402	4.92 ^{***}
8	8, 1186	3.80 ^{***}
Overall	8, 5431	13.71 ^{***}

*** $p < 0.001$.

TABLE 7

Univariate F-values for Comparison of Self-Confidence and Achievement Scores

Grade	d.f.	Self-Confidence				Achievement			
		+	-	x	+	+	-	x	+
5	1,1358	4.07*	0.93	0.06	1.27	12.19***	8.86**	20.56***	20.41***
6	1,1472	0.36	0.99	3.40	2.14	12.12***	0.09	1.30	9.95**
7	1,1409	8.28**	5.41*	3.75	0.00	1.52	2.76	9.08**	20.81***
8	1,1193	0.55	0.27	0.00	0.00	21.91***	3.14	6.49*	7.20**
Overall	1,5438	6.61*	3.84*	4.05*	0.03	38.87***	11.37***	30.45***	51.39***

* $p < 0.05$ ** $p < 0.01$ *** $p < 0.001$